

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/843,767	04/30/2001	Vivian G. Hsieh	033048-013	9190
7590 10/11/2006			EXAMINER	
James A. LaBarre BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P P.O. Box 1404			TRAN, MYLINH T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Alexandria, VA	A 22313-1404	2179		
			DATE MAILED: 10/11/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/843,767	HSIEH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mylinh Tran	2179				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period for Reply		•				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time iiii apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEL	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Ju	ly 2006.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)	rejected.					
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the construction of the constructi	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2179

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 07/10/06 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 35-48, 50-53, 55-60 and 62-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dean [US. 6,202,206].

As per claim 35, Dean teaches a computer implemented method and corresponding system for implementing a graphical user interface for an automated service provisioning system comprising the steps/means:

a first user interface element via which a user can select at least one computing device to be configured, from among a plurality of networked devices (e.g. fig. 15 - fig. 17; client computers "Paco", "Newton" and "Jeff" and

Art Unit: 2179

a second user interface element via which the user designates an operating system to be loaded on the selected computing device (col. 9, lines 17-22); Dean fails to teach a third interface element via which the user can establish name/value pairs for configuration of the designated operating system on the selected device. However, suggested that INI files are required to run Microsoft Windows operating system through version 3.1 (the computer dictionary, page 273). Name/value pairs in the INI files for configuration of the Windows operating system.

It would have been obvious to one of skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine the well known with Dean's teachings. Motivation for the combination would have been a common use in the computer system.

As per claims 36 and 53, Dean teaches said first user interface element enables the user to select a plurality of computing devices to be configured simultaneously with the designated operating system (e.g. 72 of fig. 72).

As per claim 37, Dean teaches a third user interface element via which the user designates at least one of application software and application data to be loaded on the selected computing device (e.g. fig. 26 and fig. 27).

As per claim 38, Dean teaches a computer implemented method and corresponding system for implementing a graphical user interface for an automated service provisioning system comprising the steps/means:

Art Unit: 2179

a first user interface element via which a user can select at least one computing device to be configured, from among a plurality of networked devices (e.g. fig. 15 - fig. 17; client computers "Paco", "Newton" and "Jeff" and a second user interface element via which the user designates an operating system to be loaded on the selected computing device (col. 9, lines 17-22); a third user interface element via which the user designates at least one of application software and application data to be loaded on the selected computing device (figure 14-15, column 8, lines 1-12); said third user interface element displays a list of versions of application software that are currently approved for loading onto the selected computing device. It is inherent every application software has it own version such as Windows 3.1. The version is displayed next the software application. Dean fails to teach a third interface element via which the user can establish name/value pairs for configuration of the designated operating system on the selected device. However, suggested that INI files are required to run Microsoft Windows operating system through version 3.1 (the computer dictionary, page 273). Name/value pairs in the INI files for configuration of the Windows operating system.

It would have been obvious to one of skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine the well known with Dean's teachings. Motivation for the combination would have been a common use in the computer system.

Page 5

As per claims 39, 42 and 62, Dean teaches said third user interface element including a component that is actuable by the user to cause deprecated versions of application software to be displayed for designation. It is inherent every application software has its own version such as Windows 3.1. The version is displayed next the software application. Also, all the versions including current or and latest one are displayed next to the software application.

As per claims 40, 43, 46 and 63, it is inherent in the computer system that deprecated versions are displayed in said list together with said currently approved versions because all the versions including current or and latest one are displayed next to the software application.

As per claims 41, 44 and 59, Dean teaches a computer implemented method and

corresponding system for implementing a graphical user interface for an automated service provisioning system comprising the steps/means: a first user interface element via which a user can select at least one computing device to be configured, from among a plurality of networked devices (e.g. fig. 15 - fig. 17; client computers "Paco", "Newton" and "Jeff" and a second user interface element via which the user designates an operating system to be loaded on the selected computing device (col. 9, lines 17-22);

Art Unit: 2179

operating system.

a third user interface element via which the user designates at least one of application software and application data to be loaded on the selected computing device (figure 14-15, column 8, lines 1-12); said third user interface element displays a list of versions of application software that are currently approved for loading onto the selected computing device. It is inherent every application software has its own version such as Windows 3.1. The version is displayed next the software application.

Dean fails to teach a third interface element via which the user can establish name/value pairs for configuration of the designated operating system on the selected device. However, suggested that INI files are required to run Microsoft Windows operating system through version 3.1 (the computer dictionary, page 273). Name/value pairs in the INI files for configuration of the Windows

It would have been obvious to one of skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine the well known with Dean's teachings. Motivation for the combination would have been a common use in the computer system.

As per claim 45, Dean's system teaches said second user interface element includes a component that is actuable by the user to cause deprecated versions of operation system to be displayed for designation. It is inherent every operation system has its own version such as Windows 3.1. The version is displayed next by the operation system. Also, all the versions including current or and latest one are displayed next to the operation system.

As per claim 47, Dean teaches said user interface is responsive to designation of an

operating system to cause the identification of the designated operating system to be stored in a database in association with the selected computing device (col. 9, lines 17-22).

As per claims 48 and 65, Dean teaches said user interface is responsive to designation of an application software or application data to cause the identification of the designated application software or application data to be stored in a database in association with the selected computing device (e.g. fig. 26 and fig. 27).

As per claims 50, 55, 57 and 66, Dean fails to teach including a fourth interface element via which the user can establish name/value pairs for configuration of the designated application software on the selected device. However, suggested that INI files are required to run Microsoft Windows operating system through version 3.1 (the computer dictionary, page 273). Name/value pairs in the INI files for configuration of the Windows operating system.

It would have been obvious to one of skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine the well known with Dean's teachings. Motivation for the combination would have been a common use in the computer system.

As per claim 51, Dean teaches a computer implemented method and corresponding system for implementing a graphical user interface for an automated service provisioning system comprising the steps/means:

Art Unit: 2179

a first user interface element via which a user can select at least one computing device to be configured, from among a plurality of networked devices (e.g. fig. 15 - fig. 17; client computers "Paco", "Newton" and "Jeff" and a second user interface element via which the user designates an operating system to be loaded on the selected computing device (col. 9, lines 17-22); a third user interface element via which the user designates at least one of application software and application data to be loaded on the selected computing device (figure 14-15, column 8, lines 1-12); said third user interface element displays a list of versions of application software that are currently approved for loading onto the selected computing device. It is inherent every application software has its own version such as Windows 3.1. The version is displayed next the software application. Dean teaches said third user interface element including a component that is actuable by the user to cause deprecated versions of application software to be displayed for designation. It is inherent every application software has its own version such as Windows 3.1. The version is displayed next the software application. Also, all the versions including current or and latest one are displayed next to the software application.

Dean teaches said user interface is responsive to designation of an application software or application data to cause the identification of the designated application software or application data to be stored in a database in association with the selected computing device (e.g. fig. 26 and fig. 27).

Art Unit: 2179

Dean fails to teach a third interface element via which the user can establish name/value pairs for configuration of the designated operating system on the selected device. However, suggested that INI files are required to run Microsoft Windows operating system through version 3.1 (the computer dictionary, page 273). Name/value pairs in the INI files for configuration of the Windows operating system.

It would have been obvious to one of skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine the well known with Dean's teachings. Motivation for the combination would have been a common use in the computer system. As per claim 52, Dean teaches a third user interface element via which the user designates at least one of application software and application data to be loaded on the selected computing device (figure 14-15, column 8, lines 1-12); and Dean teaches said user interface is responsive to designation of an application software or application data to cause the identification of the designated application software or application data to be stored in a database in association with the selected computing device (e.g. fig. 26 and fig. 27). As per claim 56, Dean teaches including the steps of automatically loading the designated operating system on the selected device in accordance with the identification stored in said database (e.g. col. 9, line 15 - col. 10, line 3). As per claim 58, Dean teaches the steps of automatically loading the designated operating system on the selected device in accordance with the identification stored in said database (e.g. col. 9, line 15 - col. 10, line 3).

Art Unit: 2179

As per claim 60, Dean teaches said first user interface element enables the user to select a plurality of computing devices to be configured simultaneously with the designated application software or application data (e.g. fig. 8).

As per claim 64, it is recites a combination of limitations recited in claims 38 and 39;

therefore it is rejected as set forth in the rejection of claims 38 and 39, combined.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 38 and 51 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mylinh Tran. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Thu from 7:00AM to 3:00PM at 571-272-4141.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Weilun Lo, can be reached at 571-272-4847.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:

571-273-8300

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mylinh Tran

Art Unit 2179

WEILUN LO
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER